

*Citation for published version:*

Emery, C, Whittaker, JL, Mahmoudian, A, Lohmander, LS, Roos, EM, Bennell, KL, Toomey, CM, Reimer, RA, Thompson, D, Ronsky, JL, Kuntze, G, Lloyd, DG, Andriacchi, T, Englund, M, Kraus, VB, Losina, E, Bierma-Zeinstra, S, Runhaar, J, Peat, G, Luyten, FP, Snyder-Mackler, L, Risberg, MA, Mobasheri, A, Guermazi, A, Hunter, DJ & Arden, NK 2019, 'Establishing outcome measures in early knee osteoarthritis', *Nat Rev Rheumatol*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 438-448. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-019-0237-3>

*DOI:*

[10.1038/s41584-019-0237-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-019-0237-3)

*Publication date:*

2019

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

## University of Bath

### Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:  
[openaccess@bath.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@bath.ac.uk)

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# 1    **Establishing outcome measures in early knee osteoarthritis**

2    Carolyn A Emery<sup>1\*</sup>, Jackie L Whittaker<sup>2</sup>, Armaghan Mahmoudian<sup>3</sup>, L Stefan Lohmander<sup>4</sup>, Ewa M Roos<sup>5</sup>, Kim  
3    L Bennell<sup>6</sup>, Clodagh M Toomey<sup>7</sup>, Raylene A Reimer<sup>8</sup>, Dylan Thompson<sup>9</sup>, Janet L Ronsky<sup>10</sup>, Gregor Kuntze<sup>11</sup>,  
4    David G Lloyd<sup>12</sup>, Thomas Andriacchi<sup>13</sup>, Martin Englund<sup>14</sup>, Virginia B Kraus<sup>15</sup>, Elena Losina<sup>16</sup>, Sita Bierma-  
5    Zeinstra<sup>17-19</sup>, Jos Runhaar<sup>18,19</sup>, George Peat<sup>20,21</sup>, Frank P Luyten<sup>22</sup>, Lynn Snyder-Mackler<sup>23</sup>, May Arna  
6    Risberg<sup>24</sup>, Ali Mobasher<sup>18,23-26</sup>, Ali Guermazi<sup>27</sup>, David J Hunter<sup>28</sup>, Nigel K Arden<sup>25,29</sup>

7    <sup>1</sup>*Sport Injury Prevention Research Centre, Faculty of Kinesiology and Alberta Children's Hospital Research*  
8    *Institute and McCaig Institute for Bone and Joint Health, Cumming School of Medicine, University of*  
9    *Calgary, Calgary, Canada*

10    <sup>2</sup>*Department of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton,*  
11    *Canada*

12    <sup>3</sup>*Division of Rheumatology, Skeletal Biology & Engineering Research Center, University Hospitals KU*  
13    *Leuven, Belgium*

14    <sup>4</sup>*Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Orthopaedics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden*

15    <sup>5</sup>*Department of Sports and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark*

16    <sup>6</sup>*Centre for Health, Exercise and Sports Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia*

17    <sup>7</sup>*Sport Injury Prevention Research Centre, Faculty of Kinesiology University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada*

18    <sup>8</sup>*Faculty of Kinesiology and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Calgary,*  
19    *Calgary, Canada*

20    <sup>9</sup>*Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, UK*

21    <sup>10</sup>*Schulich School of Engineering, Faculty of Kinesiology, and McCaig Institute for Bone and Joint Health,*  
22    *Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada*

23 <sup>11</sup>*Sport Injury Prevention Research Centre, Faculty of Kinesiology and Alberta Children's Hospital*  
24 *Research Institute and McCaig Institute for Bone and Joint Health, Cumming School of Medicine,*  
25 *University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada*

26 <sup>12</sup>*Gold Coast Centre for Orthopaedic Research, Engineering and Education (GCORE), Menzies Health*  
27 *Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Australia*

28 <sup>13</sup>*Department of Mechanical Engineering and Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Stanford University,*  
29 *Stanford CA, Palo Alto Veterans Affairs Health Care System, Palo Alto, CA, USA*

30 <sup>14</sup>*Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Orthopedics, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University, Lund,*  
31 *Sweden*

32 <sup>15</sup>*Duke Molecular Physiology Institute and Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Duke*  
33 *University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA*

34 <sup>16</sup>*The Orthopaedic and Arthritis Center for Outcomes Research, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard*  
35 *Medical School and Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA USA*

36 <sup>17</sup>*Department of Orthopaedics, Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands;*

37 <sup>18</sup>*D-BOARD Consortium, European Commission Framework 7 programme*

38 <sup>19</sup>*Department of General Practice, Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands*

39 <sup>20</sup>*Research Institute for Primary Care & Health Sciences, Keele University, Staffordshire, UK*

40 <sup>21</sup>*Primary Care Versus Arthritis, UK*

41 <sup>22</sup>*Division of Rheumatology, Skeletal Biology & Engineering Research Center, University Hospitals KU*  
42 *Leuven, Belgium*

43 <sup>23</sup>*Departments of Physical Therapy and Biomedical Engineering, STAR Health, University of Delaware,*  
44 *Newark, Delaware, USA*

45 <sup>24</sup>*Norwegian Research Center for Active Rehabilitation, Department of Sports medicine, Norwegian School*  
46 *Sport Sciences and Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway*

47 <sup>53</sup>*Department of Regenerative Medicine, State Research Institute Centre for Innovative Medicine, Vilnius,*  
48 *Lithuania;*

49 <sup>24</sup>*Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, UK*

50 <sup>25</sup>*Centre for Sport, Exercise and Osteoarthritis Versus Arthritis, UK*

51 <sup>26</sup>*APPROACH Consortium, European Commission Innovative Medicines Initiative*

52 <sup>27</sup>*Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, USA*

53 <sup>28</sup>*Institute of Bone and Joint Research, Kolling Institute, University of Sydney and Rheumatology*  
54 *Department, Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, Australia*

55 <sup>29</sup>*Oxford University, Oxford, UK*

56

57 Email: caemery@ucalgary.ca

58

59

60

61

## **Abstract**

The classification and monitoring of individuals with early knee osteoarthritis (OA) is an important strategy for the design and evaluation of therapeutic interventions. Such an approach requires the identification of appropriate outcomes measures. Potential outcome measures for early OA include patient-reported outcomes (such as measures of pain, function or quality of life), features of clinical examination (such as joint line tenderness and crepitus (that is, grating and crackling sounds), objective measures of physical function, levels of physical activity, movement biomechanics, structural assessments such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and biochemical markers in body fluid. Patient characteristics such as adiposity and biomechanics of the knee could also have relevance to early OA. Importantly, future research is needed to enable the selection of outcome measures that are feasible, reliable, and validated in those at risk of OA and an early knee OA population. In this Perspectives paper, potential outcome measures of individuals with early symptomatic knee osteoarthritis (OA) are discussed, including those that could be of use in clinical practice as well as research settings.

## **[H1] Introduction**

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a leading cause of chronic pain, disability, and health care utilization, with knee OA contributing the greatest burden<sup>1-4</sup>. OA is associated with increased rates of comorbidity (for example, obesity and heart disease)<sup>1</sup> and ranks the 13<sup>th</sup> <sup>2</sup> most burdensome amongst all forms of disability worldwide. The incidence, burden and socioeconomic impact of OA is considerable and growing<sup>3, 5</sup>. Therefore, a shift in the treatment approach is needed from treating patients once they have established OA to a proactive approach that focuses on mitigating risk factors. The classification and monitoring of early OA, on a trajectory from normal to symptomatic and/or radiographic OA, would provide an opportunity in clinical practice and research for the development and evaluation of interventions to prevent or slow down the disease process at a time it is probably more amenable to modification.

Although the definition of early OA and appropriate outcomes are under development, OA is probably heterogeneous in terms of its presentation and progression. Knee OA might progress slowly over a period of ten or more years, rapidly, or not at all<sup>6</sup>. Predicting the development and progression of disease through identifying risk factors and mechanisms of OA is important in chronic disease management to inform targeted OA prevention and treatment strategies. This strategy is difficult because of the heterogeneous presentation of OA; however, the availability of increasingly sophisticated statistical and computational methods, microsimulation modelling, and large population-based cohort studies make this approach increasingly viable. For example, widely-used online prediction tools are now available for evaluating future risk of osteoporotic fractures and for guiding clinicians in preventive management of osteoporosis<sup>7-9</sup>. Comparable reliable and validated outcomes for early knee OA will inform the evaluation of risk factors for the progression of early OA. More than one set of risk factors and models will probably be needed to predict early OA in the future. The Rotterdam and Chingford studies (two prospective population-based studies) have demonstrated an ability to predict incident radiographic knee OA using a combination of clinical, genetic, and radiographic factors<sup>10</sup>. When performing risk assessment and creating a predictive model for early knee OA, many aspects need to be considered: the definitions of the outcome and prognostic factors; the duration of the clinically relevant prediction period; and the setting in which the risk prediction tool will be used (for example, primary care, secondary care or a research setting). For instance, expensive and intensive predictive tools such as MRI scans and biochemical markers might be restricted to secondary care and/or a research setting.

In this Perspectives article, we highlight considerations for best practice in the selection of outcome measures for use in clinical and research settings to evaluate patients at initial presentation of early knee OA across different outcome domains: patient-reported outcomes, clinical examination, physical function, adiposity, physical activity, nutrition, biomechanical outcomes, imaging features and biochemical markers<sup>11</sup>. We suggest outcome measures that could be considered for use in individuals with early knee OA in clinical care and research settings using published evidence (primarily from post-traumatic and

established OA populations), emerging evidence (ongoing studies), and clinical expertise (Box 1). The outcome measures highlighted are relevant to individuals that are at risk of OA and fit the provisional criteria for early knee OA based on patient reported outcomes of pain and function, together with clinical signs (joint line tenderness or crepitus) and a radiographic Kellgren-Lawrence (KL) grade of 0-1<sup>12</sup>. Although proposed as important evidence-informed clinical outcome measures, these outcome measures will require additional validation and possible modification to suit local primary care and other healthcare settings, as well as periodical updates.

#### [H1] Patient-reported outcomes

Patient-reported outcomes are any report of a patient's health status that comes directly from the patient without interpretation by others (for example, the clinician). These measures commonly take the form of a questionnaire. Most relevant patient-reported outcome measures have been developed to either assess individuals with a knee injury (for example, International Knee Documentation Committee 2000 (IKDC2000)) or established OA (for example, Western Ontario and McMaster Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC)); although, one questionnaire has been developed to cover the full spectrum from injury to established OA (the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)). The relative merits of these and other available instruments that measure self-reported pain, function, and quality of life have been the subject of previous reviews<sup>13, 14</sup>. Today measures, such as PROMIS, are often developed using computer adaptive strategies which may also prove to be relevant for use in people with early knee OA<sup>15</sup>. Many of the considerations that influence the choice of measure in established OA (for example, respondent burden, cost or availability) apply also in early OA.

Ultra-brief (one or two domains) unidimensional generic measures, such as the 11-point Numerical Rating Scale (NRS-11), the 36-Item short form health survey (SF-36) bodily pain scale (SF-BP 36), have been recommended in previous reviews for established OA<sup>16</sup> and are probably applicable also in early OA.

However, the disadvantage of unidimensional measures is a restricted view of the pain character and intensity<sup>16, 17</sup>, which is probably inappropriate based on emerging evidence from qualitative studies in patients with early knee OA<sup>18-20</sup>. For instance, these patients report that their initial symptoms can be experienced as ‘an awareness’ of the knee, loss of confidence, or needing to ‘be careful’ as opposed to ‘pain’. The KOOS knee-related quality of life subscale includes consideration of questions on these aspects<sup>14,15</sup>. Further, reporting OA pain as ‘constant’ or ‘present on most days’ might give floor effects (i.e., most individuals may report at the lower end of the scale) in early OA as these patients often report episodic and intermittent pain with certain activities. For example, pain during ascending or descending stairs seemed to be the earliest functional difficulty reported in the OA initiative<sup>21</sup>. Accordingly, the intermittent and constant assessment of pain score (ICOAP) questionnaire, which includes a subscale on intermittent symptoms, has an increasing amount of evidence supporting its’ reliability and validity<sup>22</sup>.

Another important consideration is that the early phase of knee OA is often associated with the emergence of adaptive behaviour. Symptom frequency and intensity might be minimized through the selection of behaviours (for example, performing some activities less often), optimization of behaviours (for example, advanced planning of activities, including anticipatory analgesic use), and compensatory adaptations (for example, modifying the way activities are performed)<sup>23</sup>. Therefore, consideration of adaptive behaviour is a legitimate topic for outcome measurement in early OA<sup>24</sup>, an example of which is the Questionnaire to Identify Knee Symptoms (QuKS). QuKS includes questions such as “I am considering stopping a favorite activity due to my knees” and “I am considering changing my exercise routine due to my knee problems”<sup>25</sup>.

The KOOS was developed for self-reporting of patient-relevant outcomes across the lifespan, from time of knee injury and potential knee OA onset to severe OA<sup>26-29</sup>. In five separate subscales this tool assesses perceived pain and other symptoms (e.g., stiffness, grinding, catching), perceived difficulty with function during daily life and sport and recreational activities, and knee-related quality of life. The KOOS measurement properties have been reported in studies of young, middle-aged, and elderly groups with



knee injury or OA, and across the spectrum of<sup>14</sup>. A comprehensive literature search identified 37 eligible papers evaluating KOOS measurement properties in participants with knee injuries and/or osteoarthritis (OA) and found that KOOS demonstrates adequate content validity, internal consistency, test-retest reliability, construct validity and responsiveness for age- and condition-relevant subscales<sup>14</sup>. The KOOS is feasible to administer electronically and in paper form and KOOS scoring instructions and population-based KOOS reference data are available. In addition, longitudinal KOOS data have been collected from more than 100,000 patients in surgical registries of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and knee replacement facilitating comparisons to many different populations<sup>30, 31</sup>. In addition, for the interested researcher, KOOS data are freely available and collected from the cohort of patients who are at increased risk of OA and the cohort of patients with established disease from the NIH-sponsored OA Initiative<sup>32</sup>. The OA initiative also collects a wide range of other self-reported, clinical and imaging data<sup>32</sup>. The cohort at risk include people with symptoms and two or more risk factors (including knee injury) but without radiographic OA<sup>32</sup>.

The ICOAP was designed to evaluate the pain experience in people with OA. It includes pain intensity, frequency, and impact on mood, sleep and quality of life. It is intended to be used alongside a measure of physical function<sup>22</sup>. OA-specific measures developed for more advanced OA cannot be assumed to have adequate psychometric properties when applied to early OA. Yet, the requirement for adequate performance in early OA must be balanced against the benefits for a coherent evidence base that comes from using common measures across the spectrum from early to advanced OA. Of existing measures, the KOOS and ICOAP seem to best strike this balance and are therefore strong candidates for evaluating early knee OA (Box 1), particularly as these instruments focus on different aspects; both have the advantage of being freely available. Published reviews of the psychometric properties of these two measures require systematic updating with specific attention to their performance in early OA.

#### **[H1] Clinical examination outcomes**

Clinical examination outcomes are relevant in research and are easy to perform in primary care. Joint line tenderness (tibiofemoral and/or patellofemoral joint lines) at baseline was suggested to be a strong predictor of five-year pain progression (moderate progression adjusted OR=3.9 (95% CI; 2.3 - 6.6)<sup>33</sup> in the CHECK cohort (n=705) that included patients with newly onset knee pain or stiffness<sup>34</sup>. Several studies have evaluated the ability of physical signs to predict the clinical onset of structural radiographic OA in patients with an increased risk of OA<sup>33-37</sup>. Data from the HONEUR Study, which included 549 participants who were recruited at the first presentation of knee pain in primary care, suggested that joint line tenderness, crepitus (that is, grating, crackling, popping sounds), pain with passive flexion, and a self-reported swollen knee predicted incident radiographic tibiofemoral knee OA after 6 years<sup>35</sup>. Using MRI features of knee OA as an outcome measure, data from the general population Rotterdam Study showed that joint line tenderness together with the 'feeling of giving way' were associated with the incidence of tibiofemoral knee OA, whereas crepitus was identified as a good predictor of patellofemoral OA<sup>36, 37</sup>.

Easily assessable measures from physical examination might be associated with future OA development, including joint line tenderness and crepitus, even in the absence of radiologic findings of OA (Box 1). Clinical examination of these features had good inter-observer reliability in a population with evident knee osteoarthritis if a standardised approach to such assessment is used<sup>38</sup>. However, these clinical assessment components require further examination of reliability and validation for research settings in early knee OA and standardization for use in clinical settings.

## **[H1] Physical function outcomes**

Given that the early pre-radiographic stage of OA is associated with intermittent symptoms and adaptive physical behaviour, the clinical evaluation of patients with, or at risk of, early knee OA should incorporate robust outcome measures of physical function<sup>39</sup>. Currently, no consensus exists regarding which outcomes are most relevant for use in this population. For the purposes of this Perspective article, physical function is operationally defined as 'physiological functions' or 'the ability to move around and to perform daily

activities' that can be classified as 'body functions and structure' or 'activities and participation', respectively, using the World Health Organization International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) model<sup>40</sup>. As physical function is multi-dimensional, both performance-based and physical impairment measures (which might require specialized pieces of equipment and raters) are discussed in this section. Emerging evidence suggest that some of these outcome measures might be suitable for the evaluation of early OA and those at risk of OA (Table 1)<sup>41-46</sup>.

A range of performance-based measures are available although the degree to which their measurement properties are established and the range of populations they have been used in varies (Table 1). Measures that have undergone fairly extensive investigation include the Single Leg Hop for distance test<sup>43, 44, 47-50</sup>, the Cross Hop for distance<sup>43, 47-51</sup>, the 6-meter Timed Hop Test<sup>43, 47-50</sup>, the Star Excursion and similar Y-balance Btest<sup>44, 51-56</sup>, the 30-second Chair Sit-to-Stand Test<sup>57-59</sup>, and the 6-minute walk test<sup>41, 42</sup>, while there is emerging evidence for the Vertical Drop Jump<sup>44, 60</sup>, the Single Leg Squat<sup>44, 61-63</sup>, Unipedal Dynamic Balance test<sup>44, 64</sup> and 20-meter Shuttle Run<sup>44, 65</sup>. The most commonly reported outcome of physical impairment is quadriceps muscle strength<sup>44, 47, 48, 52, 66</sup>, however, there may also be value in considering the strength of other lower extremity muscles including the hamstring, hip abductor and hip adductor muscles<sup>67</sup>; although, insufficient information is available to advocate for specific contraction mode (i.e., isotonic, isokinetic or isometric) or type (i.e., concentric or eccentric).

Because of floor and ceiling effects (i.e., most individuals report a minimum – floor, or maximum – ceiling score), separate measures are required to cover the wide range of ages and abilities of patients with early knee OA in both clinical and research settings . Functional outcomes that should be considered for use in research and in clinical physical and exercise therapy practice based on their measurement properties and ability to span the full spectrum of patient age and abilities include the Single Leg Hop for distance, 30-second Chair Sit-to-Stand Test, 6-minute walk test, Star Excursion Balance Test and a quadriceps strength measure. The performance-based outcomes should be administered in a standardized, validated and

reproducible fashion to enable detection of change over time; video demonstrations and explicit instructions for standardized testing are available online (see related links). Further research validating functional outcomes in ‘at risk’ (e.g., intra-articular knee injury, obesity, varus/valgus alignment abnormality) and ‘early-OA’ populations is required and this research should inform the periodic updating of these suggested functional outcomes.

#### **[H1] Modifiable lifestyle-related outcomes**

The presence of modifiable risk factors related to lifestyle, such as obesity, dietary inadequacies, and physical inactivity might lead to accelerated disease onset and progression through a combination of mechanical and systemic mechanisms<sup>68</sup>. Identifying these modifiable risk factors in early knee OA is important for the prevention of OA.

Several measures of adiposity or weight have been studied in established OA, but less so in early OA. These include BMI, waist-height ratio (WHR) and waist circumference<sup>69-73</sup>. The location of fat deposits influences their metabolic and inflammatory potential and therefore may be important considerations<sup>74</sup>. A high waist-height ratio or waist circumference (indicative of abdominal adiposity) was associated with an increased risk of OA progression<sup>73</sup>; however, neither outcome was associated with the loss of tibial or patellar cartilage volume or defects in adults in the community with pre-radiographic OA<sup>75, 76</sup>. To better understand this relationship, a distinction between subcutaneous and visceral adiposity using valid assessment techniques (e.g. MRI or CT assessment) is likely needed. Measurements of fat mass (kg), percentage fat mass (percentage of total mass) and fat mass index (FMI; fat mass/height<sup>2</sup>), can be obtained using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry or bioelectrical impedance analysis, hence permitting a direct measure of total adiposity<sup>77</sup>. Total fat mass is positively associated with an increased risk of knee cartilage defects and the presence of bone marrow lesions in healthy individuals (aged 25-60 years)<sup>78</sup> and medial tibiofemoral cartilage volume loss over 2-10 years in adults aged 51-81 years<sup>79, 80</sup>. A systematic review

reported moderate evidence for the relationship between obesity (that is, increasing weight, BMI or total body fat mass) and the presence of bone marrow lesions in the knee in individuals with OA<sup>72</sup>. In addition to contributing to an increased mechanical load, adiposity is thought to have a metabolic and pro-inflammatory function in OA; therefore, a direct measure of adiposity such as fat mass, percentage fat mass or FMI might be useful in the assessment of early-stage OA<sup>81-84</sup>.

Physical activity is a modifiable outcome that might delay the onset of functional limitation, prevent obesity, and is essential for normal joint health<sup>85</sup>. In addition, physical activity can reduce pain and disability among individuals with OA and increase their physical performance and self-efficacy<sup>86-88</sup>. Light or moderate intensity physical activity might protect against the onset of disability related to symptomatic OA, whereas a sedentary lifestyle or high levels of strenuous physical activity are considered risk factors<sup>89-91</sup>. Many variations of self-reported measures of physical activity exist including global or short recall questionnaires, although most have limited accuracy<sup>89-91</sup>. Wearable monitors that measure body motion can be used to assess physical activity and energy expenditure. The most commonly used sensor, validated across multiple populations, is an accelerometer (for example, Actigraph)<sup>92</sup>, which captures frequency, intensity, and duration of physical activity in a time-stamped manner. The large selection of off-the-shelf accelerometers, often contained in mobile phones, might be more suitable in a primary care setting to measure physical activity as they are less expensive, easier to use, and widely available<sup>93, 94</sup>. Most accelerometers, however, are not validated to measure cycling or swimming. In general, objective measures of physical activity such as accelerometer outcomes compared with self-reporting have stronger relationships with function in OA<sup>95</sup> and are a more accurate assessment of physical activity and sedentary lifestyle.

Nutrition interventions such as weight loss<sup>96, 97</sup> are lifestyle-related changes that can potentially improve OA symptoms. Beyond the link between obesity and knee OA (and therefore the important contribution of weight loss)<sup>98, 99</sup>, the contribution of nutritional factors is an emerging and important area of research,

although limited clinical evidence is available to date. For example, low dietary intakes of fibre<sup>100</sup> or omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids<sup>101</sup>, and high fat diets<sup>102</sup> are risk factors for OA and/or worsening of pain in OA and might therefore warrant monitoring in early OA. Many of the nutrients or dietary patterns tested to date probably contribute to pathology via alterations in body weight or inflammation, although the direct effects of these factors requires further investigation. The tools to monitor dietary intake are numerous (for example, the Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), 24-hour dietary recall (either the paper-based or web-based automated self-administered 24-hour dietary recall (ASA24) assessment tools<sup>103</sup>) and the 3-day or 7-day weighed food record) and need to be assessed for each clinical or research setting. In addition, tools to assess adherence to diets that reduce inflammation such as the Mediterranean Diet Adherence Screener<sup>104</sup> might also warrant use in future.

Hence, objective measures of adiposity are desirable. BMI is a useful outcome measure for assessing adiposity in a primary clinical setting because of its familiarity, validity, and reference ranges. However, BMI has limitations for use in young athletes. Although weight loss can improve OA symptoms, further research is needed to identify a means of assessing important OA-related nutritional factors. Assessment of physical activity using a validated accelerometer, to accurately capture activity through each domain and intensity, is a promising area that requires future study.

## **[H1] Biomechanical outcomes**

Biomechanical outcomes are measures of joint mechanics typically collected in a research setting, but sometimes taken in a primary care setting. Joint mechanics can be employed to assess OA severity, but also for understanding the causes of OA onset and progression. For example, altered joint mechanics following knee injury might contribute to the onset and development of post-traumatic OA<sup>39</sup>. Indirect evidence to support this concept comes from observations of altered joint movement, loading, and muscle activation patterns following injury<sup>105-110</sup>, with radiographic knee OA (KL $\geq$ 2)<sup>111-113</sup>, with aging<sup>114, 115</sup> and pre

and post joint arthroplasty<sup>116-118</sup>. Abnormal joint alignment<sup>119, 120</sup>, alteration of the external knee adduction moment (KAM) and increased varus alignment are often regarded as indicators of altered joint mechanics associated with increased OA severity<sup>113</sup>. However, joint mechanics in OA might also change because of other factors including loss of dynamic joint stability<sup>121, 122</sup>, muscle atrophy<sup>123</sup>, neuromuscular inhibition<sup>124</sup>, muscle weakness,<sup>125-127</sup> and compensatory muscle activation mechanisms<sup>111, 112, 117</sup>. These changes might alter cartilage loading and contact mechanics. Indeed, some studies indicate that changes in tibiofemoral cartilage contact locations<sup>39, 128</sup>, elongated path lengths<sup>129</sup>, force magnitudes<sup>106, 130, 131</sup>, and deformations<sup>128, 129</sup> are associated with OA onset and progression. In turn, OA progression might be caused by progressive degradation of cartilage through interactions of articular movement and cartilage loading abnormalities, chronic inflammation, resultant tissue remodelling, and other OA risk factors by increasing the susceptibility of cartilage and subchondral bone to damage and degradation at regions inadequately adapted to these altered loads<sup>128, 132-136</sup>. Over time, this process might result in altered cartilage thicknesses and clinically relevant cartilage thinning in different regions of the articular cartilage surfaces. To verify this mechanism, longitudinal data are needed of the joint mechanics, cartilage thickness, and cartilage structure and integrity in OA<sup>137, 138</sup>. Integration of this information with other risk factors for OA-related changes might inform the development of novel patient-specific, diagnostic or predictive models to aid in early patient screening, intervention efficacy monitoring, and the development of new therapeutics<sup>130, 131, 133, 139, 140</sup>. Armed with these data and models, new wearable monitors might enable biomechanical outcomes assessment in the clinic and community<sup>134-136, 141, 142</sup>, and might provide the possibility of developing and monitoring personalized treatment plans.

Presently, the joint range of motion is a suggested measure that could be collected in a primary care setting to assess OA severity. The other biomechanical outcomes mentioned above (e.g., KAM, kinematics, electromyography, cartilage loading) although used to understand the mechanisms of OA progression and currently not feasibly collected in most clinical settings, are an important component for consideration in

research settings to inform orthotics design, exercise interventions, bracing, and surgical interventions. In the future, validated wearable monitors might help assess biomechanical outcomes of early interventions in the clinic and community. Evidence suggest that outcome measures are not independent but rather variation in one outcome measure (for example, biomechanical outcomes) can influence the quantitative state of another measure (for example, biochemical markers or imaging outcomes)<sup>143-147</sup>. Thus, future research should consider the interaction between different outcome measures to potentially increase the sensitivity of detecting early OA<sup>132, 144</sup>.

### **[H1] Imaging outcomes**

OA is a complex syndrome that at the local level, is best characterised as a whole joint disease involving multiple tissue pathologies. In attempting to characterise and monitor the variety of OA structural components a number of different imaging modalities have been used-the most common amongst these being radiography, ultrasound and MRI. This section will predominantly focus on plain radiography and MRI, as ultrasound has a number of limitations that have constrained its development and validity in this area including observer dependency its' inability to assess bone marrow lesions and to adequately image deep articular joint structures including meniscus and cartilage<sup>148</sup>.

MRI plays a major role in the OA research setting, with compositional MRI techniques becoming increasingly more important due to their capacity to assess 'pre-morphologic' biochemical compositional changes of articular and periarticular tissues. Although radiography remains the primary imaging modality in OA clinical trials and in daily medical practice, known limitations for visualisation of OA features significantly limits the utility of radiography both clinically and in the research arena. Ultrasound can be a useful adjunct to radiography and MRI particularly for the evaluation of synovitis. Emerging hybrid imaging techniques including PET/MRI and PET/CT allow evaluation of the joint with simultaneous assessment of morphological changes and metabolic activities, showing a potential for these hybrid systems to play an increasing role in OA research and clinical practice<sup>149</sup>.



Radiographic features of OA are generally classified by the Kellgren and Lawrence (KL) grading system<sup>150</sup> and include joint space narrowing, osteophyte formation, sclerosis, and deformity of bony contours<sup>151</sup>. Minimum radiographic joint space width (JSW) is the gold standard recommended by the FDA for detecting structural changes in patients with knee OA in clinical trials. However, standardized measures of radiographic positioning and fixed location JSW width failed to reach the same degree of responsiveness in knee OA as quantitative measures of cartilage thickness on MRI<sup>152</sup>. Indeed, fixed-location radiographic measures appear not capable of determining the spatial distribution of femorotibial cartilage loss<sup>152</sup>. Moreover, radiographic features such as loss of joint space, sclerosis, and deformity of bone are associated with late-stage OA and are preceded and detected with greater sensitivity by MRI<sup>153</sup>.

Conventional MRI enables the evaluation of morphological changes related to early OA, including but not limited to cartilage damage, meniscal damage, synovitis, presence of BMLs, and ligamentous damage. In one study of patients with knee pain (n=255, age 40-79 years), BMLs were present in 11% of individuals without radiographic OA (KL = 0), 38% of individuals with pre-radiographic OA (KL = 1) and 71% of individuals with radiographic OA (KL >2)<sup>153, 154</sup>. Similarly, 42% of patients with a diagnosis of symptomatic OA without radiographic features (KL < 2) had BMLs and 57% had cartilage loss<sup>155</sup>. Although a paucity of data exists regarding the timeline of structural changes in the period between a joint injury sustained in youth and the onset of clinical post-traumatic OA, advanced MRI techniques have been used to detect subtle cartilage damage at the time of ACL injury<sup>156</sup>. Furthermore, macroscopic cartilaginous changes, the presence of BMLs, and bone morphology changes might be detectable by conventional MRI techniques as early as two years post ACL reconstruction or other intra-articular knee injury (and potentially before the development of radiographic OA<sup>6, 157-160</sup>).

In 2011, a definition of MRI-defined OA was proposed to facilitate earlier detection of OA (Box 2)<sup>161, 162</sup>. In one study of patients who had undergone anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction, 19% and 17% of the participants met the MRI criteria for tibiofemoral and patellofemoral OA, respectively, at 1 year<sup>163</sup>.

375 Using the same criteria for MRI-defined OA in patients who participated in a clinical trial of ACL  
376 reconstruction, 31% had tibiofemoral OA and 9% patellofemoral OA, respectively, at 5 years<sup>164</sup>.  
377 Importantly, some of the changes included in this criteria are undetectable by radiography (i.e. cartilage  
378 thickness, bone marrow lesions). Different methodologies can be used to measure structural changes in  
379 the knee by MRI including the use of semi-quantitative measures (such as the MRI Osteoarthritis Knee  
380 Score (MOAKS)), quantitative measures (including cartilage thickness, bone marrow lesion volume,  
381 effusion-synovitis volume and meniscal extrusion) and measures obtained using compositional imaging  
382 modalities of cartilage (including T2 mapping, T1ρ mapping, delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI of cartilage  
383 (dGEMRIC), sodium MRI and glycosaminoglycan chemical exchange saturation transfer (gagCEST)) which  
384 measure cartilage composition and quality<sup>165</sup>. Semiquantitative MRI evaluation can be performed using  
385 several available scoring systems such as the MRI Osteoarthritis Knee Score (MOAKS) and the Anterior  
386 Cruciate Ligament Osteoarthritis Score (ACLOAS)<sup>154, 166</sup>. For synovitis assessment, contrast-enhanced MRI  
387 should be used and semi-quantitative scoring systems based on contrast-enhanced MRI are available to  
388 enable clear delineation of the synovium from effusion<sup>167</sup>. In population-based studies, a high proportion  
389 of radiographically normal knees have osteophytes and cartilage damage detectable by MRI illustrating  
390 the greater sensitivity of MRI as compared to radiography<sup>153</sup>. However, it also highlights the challenge of  
391 what is to be regarded as OA and what is part of a normally ageing joint<sup>168</sup>. The link between anatomical  
392 evidence of OA and patients' symptoms and function is still rather weak<sup>169, 170</sup>. Ultimately, the presence of  
393 these findings on MRI require validation by longitudinal follow-up studies to identify their association with  
394 subsequent illness related to OA (alteration of patient function and symptoms)<sup>171</sup> to avoid over-diagnosis  
395 because of incidental MRI findings<sup>153, 154, 172-174</sup>. Notably, the distinction between pathology and normal  
396 features of the ageing joint is unclear and further research to elucidate the clinical relevance of MRI  
397 findings in early knee OA is warranted.

Hence, the utility of plain radiography in early OA is limited as only relatively late OA changes are detectable. As technology improves, assessing changes in bone shape or trabecular bone texture of subchondral bone might be of use. MRI has superior sensitivity to change and validity in the context of early OA<sup>153</sup>. Although not appropriate for all primary care settings because of the high cost and risk of overdiagnosis, MRI is a critical component of ongoing outcome validation research in early knee OA.

#### **[H1] Biochemical marker outcomes**

Biochemical markers of joint tissue turnover can reflect disease-relevant biological activity that might precede structural changes detectable on plain radiographs or even by MRI. Markers detected in blood, urine or synovial fluid may be associated with or predictive of incident radiographic OA. Some biochemical markers detectable in blood, urine or synovial fluid are associated with or predictive of incident radiographic OA. Ideally, biochemical markers of early OA must clearly differentiate between normal (physiological) and pathological tissue turnover as well as between the early stages of the disease and more advanced joint destruction. Biochemical markers must also be unaffected by other disorders and be easily and consistently measurable in a clinical setting<sup>175</sup>. Biochemical markers of early OA might therefore be used to identify pre-radiographic changes at the molecular level, facilitate OA drug discovery, and potentially enable a more rational and personalized approach to healthcare related OA management by prompting earlier and more targeted treatments and interventions<sup>176</sup>.

Studies of incident OA have identified some of the earliest molecular abnormalities associated with OA and therefore provide biochemical marker candidates for early OA identification. Serum protein signatures using antibody-based protein microarrays have been shown to detect early radiographic hand or knee OA. Four serum proteins (matrix metalloproteinase-7, IL-15, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 and soluble vascular adhesion protein-1) were found to be altered in a cohort of patients with OA compared to healthy individuals<sup>177</sup>. Similarly, serum COMP (sCOMP) and hyaluronan concentrations

422 could predict<sup>178</sup> incident knee joint space narrowing and osteophyte (sCOMP) formation 7 years later in  
423 another patient cohort. In another study, incident radiographic knee OA (based on KL scores) over ten  
424 years was predicted by high serum COMP concentration (based on KL scores) but low serum aggrecan  
425 concentration at the beginning of the study<sup>179</sup>. Notably, though, molecular and structural biomarkers of  
426 inflammation at two years after an acute ACL injury did not predict structural knee osteoarthritis at five  
427 years<sup>164</sup>. Mean baseline serum osteocalcin concentrations are associated with 3-year incident  
428 radiographic hand OA (KL >2) but not knee OA in pre-menopausal and peri-menopausal women<sup>180</sup>.  
429 Bioactive lipids are also potential biochemical markers of pain and inflammation<sup>181</sup> and metabolomics  
430 has been used to identify metabolic profiles that can differentiate between synovium samples from  
431 patients with OA and healthy individuals<sup>182</sup>.  
432 In 2006, the NIH-funded OA Biomarkers Network and the OARSI Clinical Trials Biomarkers Working group  
433 proposed a new classification system for OA biochemical markers termed BIPEDS<sup>183, 184</sup>. The purpose of  
434 this classification was to clarify the intended primary use of the biochemical marker to reflect Burden of  
435 OA disease, Investigative, Prognostic for OA development, Efficacy of OA intervention, Diagnostic for OA  
436 and Safety of intervention biochemical markers classification system for OA biochemical markers<sup>183, 184</sup>.  
437 However, a systematic review performed in 2010 concluded that individual biochemical markers and  
438 categories of biochemical markers, including their nature, origin and metabolism, need further  
439 investigation and validation<sup>185</sup>. In 2016, the FDA-NIH Biomarker Working Group published the BEST  
440 (Biomarkers, EndpointS, and other Tools) glossary<sup>186</sup>. The BEST resource aims to distinguish between  
441 biochemical markers and clinical assessments and to describe the distinct functions of biochemical  
442 markers in biomedical research, clinical practice, and medical product development. Harmonization of key  
443 terms by BEST avoids inconsistent use of key terms that can hinder the evaluation and interpretation of  
444 scientific evidence. BEST can thereby be expected to facilitate all aspects of biochemical marker work  
445 including testing, validation, commercialization, and perhaps even development for early OA.

Biochemical and molecular profiling of biological fluids (for example, serum, plasma and synovial fluid) and joint tissues can provide a global view of the physiologic state of an OA joint. Refinements in omics approaches and advances in analytical platforms and technologies will enable improved profiling of different stages of disease. To be clinically useful these biochemical markers need to be properly qualified (qualification is a regulatory process that links a biochemical marker with biomechanical and/or clinical outcomes) for early OA and they must adhere to the BEST guidelines to be effectively used in a clinical setting, rather than in an exploratory and hypothesis testing research setting.

Soluble biochemical markers require further study, validation, and qualification as susceptibility or risk outcomes for the development of early OA before being adopted for widespread use in the clinical care setting.

## **[H1] Conclusions**

Various outcome domains exist that could be assessed for patients with early knee OA in research and/or clinical settings, including patient-reported outcomes, clinical features, measures of physical function, adiposity, physical activity or nutrition and biomechanical, imaging, or biochemical markers. Promising patient reported outcomes for this purpose include the KOOS and the ICOAP. Measures of physical outcomes (for example, single leg hop, quadriceps strength) and fat mass index (DXA) are also valid and reliable. With increasing popularity worldwide, a validated wearable physical activity monitor for quantifying levels of physical activity and a 3-day weighed food record for nutritional intake (for example, calories) has potential. MRI-defined OA and biochemical markers, although promising, require specific healthcare and research facilities where the assessment of these outcomes is possible and body fluids can be collected, stored and measured according to standard operating procedures. Additional considerations of patient-preferences and psychosocial outcomes are also important in future research examining early knee OA outcome measures<sup>187</sup>. In this regard, further patient-engaged research is recommended.

470 Importantly, multiple factors must be considered to facilitate risk assessment and the development of  
471 predictive models for early knee OA. Furthermore, definitions are needed for the potential outcomes,  
472 exposures, confounding and effect-modifying variables, duration of the clinically relevant prediction  
473 period and the setting in which the risk prediction tool will be used. As such, further research validating  
474 outcomes in individuals 'at risk' of early OA progression (for example, individuals with an intra-articular  
475 knee injury and/or who are obese) and 'early-OA' populations is required.

476

## 477 REFERENCES

- 478 1. Suri P, Morgenroth DC, Hunter DJ. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis and associated comorbidities.  
479 *PM&R*.4,5,S10-S9 (2012)
- 480 2. Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, et al. Disability-adjusted life years  
481 (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global  
482 Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The Lancet*.380,9859,2197-223 (2013)
- 483 3. Bombardier C, Hawker G, Mosher D. The impact of arthritis in Canada: today and over the next 30  
484 years. Arthritis Alliance of Canada 2011:
- 485 4. Wright EA, Katz JN, Cisternas MG, Kessler CL, Wagenseller A, Losina E. Impact of knee osteoarthritis on  
486 health care resource utilization in a US population-based national sample. *Med Care*.48,9,785-91 (2010)
- 487 5. Losina E, Walensky RP, Reichmann WM, Holt HL, Gerlovin H, Solomon DH, et al. Impact of obesity and  
488 knee osteoarthritis on morbidity and mortality in older Americans. *Annals of Internal  
489 Medicine*.154,4,217-26 (2011)
- 490 6. Whittaker JL, Toomey CM, Woodhouse LJ, Jaremko JL, Nettel-Aguirre A, Emery CA. Association  
491 between MRI-defined osteoarthritis, pain, function and strength 3–10 years following knee joint injury in  
492 youth sport. *Br J Sports Med*.bjsports-2017-097576 (2017)
- 493 7. World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Metabolic Bone Diseases. FRAX WHO Fracture Risk  
494 Assessment Tool UK: University of Sheffield; 2011 [cited 2015 16/11/2015].  
495 <https://www.shef.ac.uk/FRAX/tool.jsp>.
- 496 8. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C. Derivation and validation of updated QFracture algorithm to predict risk  
497 of osteoporotic fracture in primary care in the United Kingdom: prospective open cohort study.  
498 *BMJ*.344,e3427 (2012)
- 499 9. Viswanathan M, Reddy S, Berkman N, Cullen K, Middleton J, Nicholson W, et al. Screening to Prevent  
500 Osteoporotic Fractures: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services  
501 Task Force. *JAMA*.319,24,2532-51 (2018)
- 502 10. Kerkhof H, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Arden N, Metrustry S, Castano-Betancourt M, Hart D, et al. Prediction  
503 model for knee osteoarthritis incidence, including clinical, genetic and biochemical risk factors. *Annals of  
504 the Rheumatic Diseases*.73,12,2116-21 (2014)
- 505 11. Roemer FW, Kwoh CK, Hayashi D, Felson DT, Guermazi A. The role of radiography and MRI for  
506 eligibility assessment in DMOAD trials of knee OA. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*.14,6,372-80 (2018)
- 507 12. Luyten F, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Dell'Accio F, Kraus V, Nakata K, Sekiya I, et al. Toward classification  
508 criteria for early osteoarthritis of the knee. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*.47,4,457-63 (2017)
- 509 13. Collins NJ, Misra D, Felson DT, Crossley KM, Roos EM. Measures of knee function: International Knee  
510 Documentation Committee (IKDC) Subjective Knee Evaluation Form, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis  
511 Outcome Score (KOOS), Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Physical Function Short Form  
512 (KOOS-PS), Knee Outcome Survey Activities of Daily Living Scale (KOS-ADL), Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale,  
513 Oxford Knee Score (OKS), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC),  
514 Activity Rating Scale (ARS), and Tegner Activity Score (TAS). *Arthritis Care & Research*.63,S11,S208-S28  
515 (2011)
- 516 14. Collins N, Prinsen C, Christensen R, Bartels E, Terwee C, Roos E. Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis  
517 Outcome Score (KOOS): systematic review and meta-analysis of measurement properties. *Osteoarthritis  
518 and Cartilage*.24,6,1317-29 (2016)
- 519 15. Broderick JE, Schneider S, Junghaenel DU, Schwartz JE, Stone AA. Validity and reliability of patient-  
520 reported outcomes measurement information system instruments in osteoarthritis. *Arthritis care &  
521 research*.65,10,1625-33 (2013)
- 522 16. Hawker GA, Mian S, Kendzerska T, French M. Measures of adult pain: Visual analog scale for pain (vas  
523 pain), numeric rating scale for pain (nrs pain), mcgill pain questionnaire (mpq), short-form mcgill pain

questionnaire (sf-mpq), chronic pain grade scale (cpgs), short form-36 bodily pain scale (sf-36 bps), and measure of intermittent and constant osteoarthritis pain (icoap). *Arthritis Care & Research*.63,S11,S240-S52 (2011)

17. Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Farrar JT, Haythornthwaite JA, Jensen MP, Katz NP, et al. Core outcome measures for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. *Pain*.113,1,9-19 (2005)

18. Gooberman-Hill R, Woolhead G, MacKichan F, Ayis S, Williams S, Dieppe P. Assessing chronic joint pain: lessons from a focus group study. *Arthritis Care & Research*.57,4,666-71 (2007)

19. Hawker G, Stewart L, French M, Cibere J, Jordan J, March L, et al. Understanding the pain experience in hip and knee osteoarthritis—an OARSI/OMERACT initiative. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.16,4,415-22 (2008)

20. Maly MR, Cott CA. Being careful: a grounded theory of emergent chronic knee problems. *Arthritis Care & Research*.61,7,937-43 (2009)

21. Hensor E, Dube B, Kingsbury SR, Tennant A, Conaghan PG. Toward a Clinical Definition of Early Osteoarthritis: Onset of Patient-Reported Knee Pain Begins on Stairs. Data From the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Arthritis Care & Research*.67,1,40-7 (2015)

22. Hawker G, Davis A, French M, Cibere J, Jordan J, March L, et al. Development and preliminary psychometric testing of a new OA pain measure—an OARSI/OMERACT initiative. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.16,4,409-14 (2008)

23. Gignac MA, Cott C, Badley EM. Adaptation to disability: applying selective optimization with compensation to the behaviors of older adults with osteoarthritis. *Psychology and Aging*.17,3,520-4 (2002)

24. Morden A, Jinks C, Ong BN. Lay models of self-management: how do people manage knee osteoarthritis in context? *Chronic Illness*.7,3,185–200 (2011)

25. Clark JM, Chesworth BM, Speechley M, Petrella RJ, Maly MR. Questionnaire to Identify Knee Symptoms: Development of a Tool to Identify Early Experiences Consistent With Knee Osteoarthritis. *Physical Therapy*.94,1,111-20 (2014)

26. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD. Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)--development of a self-administered outcome measure. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*.28,2,88-96 (1998)

27. Örtqvist M, Roos EM, Broström EW, Janarv P-M, Iversen MD. Development of the knee injury and osteoarthritis outcome score for children (KOOS-Child) comprehensibility and content validity. *Acta Orthopaedica*.83,6,666-73 (2012)

28. Roos EM, Toksvig-Larsen S. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)-validation and comparison to the WOMAC in total knee replacement. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*.1,1,17 (2003)

29. Roos EM, Roos H, Ekdahl C, Lohmander L. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)-validation of a Swedish version. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*.8,6,439-48 (1998)

30. Paradowski PT, Bergman S, Sundén-Lundius A, Lohmander LS, Roos EM. Knee complaints vary with age and gender in the adult population. Population-based reference data for the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*.7,1,38 (2006)

31. Williamson T, Sikka R, Tompkins M, Nelson BJ. Use of the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score in a Healthy United States Population. *Am J Sports Med*.44,2,440-6 (2015)

32. NIH. NIMH Data Archive: The Osteoarthritis Initiative: National Institute of Health/USA.gov; [cited 2019]. This data repository provides access to the data and images from the eleven year OAI longitudinal cohort study. ]. Available from: <https://nda.nih.gov/oai>.

33. Bastick A, Wesseling J, Damen J, Verkleij S, Emans P, Bindels P, et al. Defining knee pain trajectories in early symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in primary care: 5-year results from a nationwide prospective cohort study (CHECK). *The British journal of general practice : the journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners*.66,642,e32-9 (2016)



34. Wesseling J, Dekker J, Van den Berg W, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Boers M, Cats H, et al. CHECK (Cohort Hip and Cohort Knee): similarities and differences with the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*.68,9,1413-9 (2009)
35. Kastelein M. **Traumatic and Non-traumatic Knee Complaints in General Practice: Erasmus MC: University Medical Center Rotterdam**; 2013. 978-94-6191640-2
36. Schiphof D, Waarsing E, Oei E, Bierma-Zeinstra S. Crepitus, joint line tenderness and the feeling of giving way are predictive signs for early knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.23,A330 (2015)
37. Schiphof D, van Middelkoop M, de Klerk BM, Oei EH, Hofman A, Koes BW, et al. Crepitus is a first indication of patellofemoral osteoarthritis (and not of tibiofemoral osteoarthritis). *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*.22,5,631-8 (2014)
38. Maricar N, Callaghan MJ, Parkes MJ, Felson DT, O'Neill TW. Interobserver and Intraobserver Reliability of Clinical Assessments in Knee Osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol*.43,12,2171-8 (2016)
39. Andriacchi TP, Mundermann A, Smith RL, Alexander EJ, Dyrby CO, Koo S. A framework for the in vivo pathomechanics of osteoarthritis at the knee. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*.32,3,447-57 (2004)
40. World Health Organization. International classification of functioning, disability and health: ICF. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2001.
41. Dobson F, Hinman R, Hall M, Terwee C, Roos EM, Bennell K. Measurement properties of performance-based measures to assess physical function in hip and knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.20,12,1548-62 (2012)
42. Dobson F, Hinman R, Roos EM, Abbott J, Stratford P, Davis A, et al. OARSI recommended performance-based tests to assess physical function in people diagnosed with hip or knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.21,8,1042-52 (2013)
43. Kroman SL, Roos EM, Bennell KL, Hinman RS, Dobson F. Measurement properties of performance-based outcome measures to assess physical function in young and middle-aged people known to be at high risk of hip and/or knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.22,1,26-39 (2014)
44. Whittaker J, Woodhouse L, Nettel-Aguirre A, Emery C. Outcomes associated with early post-traumatic osteoarthritis and other negative health consequences 3–10 years following knee joint injury in youth sport. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.23,7,1122-9 (2015)
45. Baltich J, Whittaker J, Von Tscharnner V, Nettel-Aguirre A, Nigg BM, Emery C. The impact of previous knee injury on force plate and field-based measures of balance. *Clinical Biomechanics*.30,8,832-8 (2015)
46. Whittaker J, Toomey CM, Nettel-Aguirre A, Jaremko JL, Doyle-Baker PK, Woodhouse LJ, et al. Health-related Outcomes following a Youth Sport-related Knee Injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.epub ahead of print (2018)
47. Moksnes H, Engebretsen L, Eitzen I, Risberg MA. Functional outcomes following a non-operative treatment algorithm for anterior cruciate ligament injuries in skeletally immature children 12 years and younger. A prospective cohort with 2 years follow-up. *Br J Sports Med*.47,8,488-94 (2013)
48. Moksnes H, Risberg MA. Performance-based functional evaluation of non-operative and operative treatment after anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*.19,3,345-55 (2009)
49. Grindem H, Eitzen I, Moksnes H, Snyder-Mackler L, Risberg MA. A Pair-Matched Comparison of Return to Pivoting Sports at 1 Year in Anterior Cruciate Ligament-Injured Patients After a Nonoperative Versus an Operative Treatment Course. *Am J Sports Med*.40,11,2509-16 (2012)
50. Logerstedt D, Grindem H, Lynch A, Eitzen I, Engebretsen L, Risberg MA, et al. Single-Legged Hop Tests as Predictors of Self-Reported Knee Function After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction The Delaware-Oslo ACL Cohort Study. *Am J Sports Med*.40,10,2348-56 (2012)
51. Kanko LE, Birmingham TB, Bryant DM, Gillanders K, Lemmon K, Chan R, et al. The star excursion balance test is a reliable and valid outcome measure for patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage*.27,4,580-5 (2019)

52. Gribble PA, Hertel J, Plisky P. Using the Star Excursion Balance Test to assess dynamic postural-control deficits and outcomes in lower extremity injury: a literature and systematic review. *Journal of Athletic Training*.47,3,339-57 (2012)
53. Plisky PJ, Rauh MJ, Kaminski TW, Underwood FB. Star Excursion Balance Test as a predictor of lower extremity injury in high school basketball players. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*.36,12,911-9 (2006)
54. Herrington L, Hatcher J, Hatcher A, McNicholas M. A comparison of Star Excursion Balance Test reach distances between ACL deficient patients and asymptomatic controls. *The Knee*.16,2,149-52 (2009)
55. Shaffer SW, Teyhen DS, Lorensen CL, Warren RL, Koreerat CM, Straseske CA, et al. Y-balance test: a reliability study involving multiple raters. *Military Medicine*.178,11,1264-70 (2013)
56. Hegedus EJ, McDonough SM, Bleakley C, Baxter D, Cook CE. Clinician-friendly lower extremity physical performance tests in athletes: a systematic review of measurement properties and correlation with injury. Part 2—the tests for the hip, thigh, foot and ankle including the star excursion balance test. *Br J Sports Med*.49,10,649-56 (2015)
57. Jones CJ, Rikli RE. Measuring functional. *The Journal on Active Aging*.1,24-30 (2002)
58. Jones CJ, Rikli RE, Beam WC. A 30-s chair-stand test as a measure of lower body strength in community-residing older adults. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*.70,2,113-9 (1999)
59. Rikli RE, Jones CJ. Functional fitness normative scores for community-residing older adults, ages 60-94. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*.7,162-81 (1999)
60. Ekegren CL, Miller WC, Celebrini RG, Eng JJ, Macintyre DL. Reliability and validity of observational risk screening in evaluating dynamic knee valgus. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*.39,9,665-74 (2009)
61. Weeks BK, Carty CP, Horan SA. Kinematic predictors of single-leg squat performance: a comparison of experienced physiotherapists and student physiotherapists. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*.13,1,207 (2012)
62. Crossley KM, Zhang W-J, Schache AG, Bryant A, Cowan SM. Performance on the single-leg squat task indicates hip abductor muscle function. *Am J Sports Med*.39,4,866-73 (2011)
63. Lorenzen K, Zandiyeh P, Whittaker J, Küpper J, Ronsky J, Emery C. Kinetics and kinematics of the knee during a single leg squat 3-10 years after AN intra-articular knee injury sustained while participating in youth sports. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.23,A104 (2015)
64. Emery CA, Cassidy JD, Klassen TP, Rosychuk RJ, Rowe BH. Development of a clinical static and dynamic standing balance measurement tool appropriate for use in adolescents. *Physical Therapy*.85,6,502-14 (2005)
65. Aandstad A, Holme I, Berntsen S, Anderssen SA. Validity and reliability of the 20 meter shuttle run test in military personnel. *Military Medicine*.176,5,513-8 (2011)
66. Øiestad BE, Juhl CB, Eitzen I, Thorlund JB. Knee extensor muscle weakness is a risk factor for development of knee osteoarthritis. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.23,2,171-7 (2015)
67. Chang AH, Chmiel JS, Almagor O, Hayes KW, Guermazi A, Prasad PV, et al. Hip muscle strength and protection against structural worsening and poor function and disability outcomes in knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage*. (2019)
68. Wang X, Hunter D, Xu J, Ding C. Metabolic triggered inflammation in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.23,1,22-30 (2015)
69. Chu CR, Williams AA, Coyle CH, Bowers ME. Early diagnosis to enable early treatment of pre-osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*.14,3,212 (2012)
70. Richmond SA, Fukuchi RK, Ezzat A, Schneider K, Schneider G, Emery CA. Are joint injury, sport activity, physical activity, obesity, or occupational activities predictors for osteoarthritis? A systematic review. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*.43,8,515-B19 (2013)

71. Mezhov V, Ciccutini F, Hanna F, Brennan S, Wang Y, Urquhart D, et al. Does obesity affect knee cartilage? A systematic review of magnetic resonance imaging data. *Obesity Reviews*.15,2,143-57 (2014)
72. Lim YZ, Wang Y, Wluka AE, Davies-Tuck ML, Hanna F, Urquhart DM, et al. Association of obesity and systemic factors with bone marrow lesions at the knee: a systematic review. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*.43,5,600-12 (2014)
73. Lohmander LS, de Verdier MG, Rollof J, Nilsson PM, Engström G. Incidence of severe knee and hip osteoarthritis in relation to different measures of body mass: a population-based prospective cohort study. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*.68,4,490-6 (2009)
74. Fox CS, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Pou KM, Maurovich-Horvat P, Liu C-Y, et al. Abdominal visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue compartments. *Circulation*.116,1,39-48 (2007)
75. Visser AW, Ioan-Facsinay A, de Mutsert R, Widya RL, Loeff M, de Roos A, et al. Adiposity and hand osteoarthritis: the Netherlands Epidemiology of Obesity study. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*.16,1,R19 (2014)
76. Teichtahl AJ, Wang Y, Wluka AE, Szramka M, English DR, Giles GG, et al. The longitudinal relationship between body composition and patella cartilage in healthy adults. *Obesity*.16,2,421-7 (2008)
77. Cibere J, Zhang H, Thorne A, Wong H, Singer J, Kopec JA, et al. Association of clinical findings with pre-radiographic and radiographic knee osteoarthritis in a population-based study. *Arthritis Care & Research*.62,12,1691-8 (2010)
78. Berry P, Wluka A, Davies-Tuck M, Wang Y, Strauss B, Dixon J, et al. The relationship between body composition and structural changes at the knee. *Rheumatology*.49,12,2362-9 (2010)
79. Visser A, de Mutsert R, Loeff M, le Cessie S, den Heijer M, Bloem J, et al. The role of fat mass and skeletal muscle mass in knee osteoarthritis is different for men and women: the NEO study. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.22,2,197-202 (2014)
80. Ding C, Stannus O, Ciccutini F, Antony B, Jones G. Body fat is associated with increased and lean mass with decreased knee cartilage loss in older adults: a prospective cohort study. *International Journal of Obesity*.37,6,822-7 (2013)
81. Wang Y, Wluka AE, English DR, Teichtahl AJ, Giles GG, O'Sullivan R, et al. Body composition and knee cartilage properties in healthy, community-based adults. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*.66,9,1244-8 (2007)
82. Myer GD, Faigenbaum AD, Foss KB, Xu Y, Khoury J, Dolan LM, et al. Injury initiates unfavourable weight gain and obesity markers in youth. *Br J Sports Med*.bjsports-2012-091988 (2013)
83. Onat A, Uğur M, Can G, Yüksel H, Hergenç G. Visceral adipose tissue and body fat mass: predictive values for and role of gender in cardiometabolic risk among Turks. *Nutrition*.26,4,382-9 (2010)
84. Toomey CM, Whittaker J, Nettel-Aguirre A, reimer RA, Woodhouse LJ, Ghali B, et al. Higher Fat Mass Is Associated With a History of Knee Injury in Youth Sport. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*.47,2,80-7 (2017)
85. Miller ME, Rejeski WJ, Reboussin BA, Have TR, Ettinger WH. Physical activity, functional limitations, and disability in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*.48,10,1264-72 (2000)
86. Vignon É, Valat J-P, Rossignol M, Avouac B, Rozenberg S, Thoumie P, et al. Osteoarthritis of the knee and hip and activity: a systematic international review and synthesis (OASIS). *Joint Bone Spine*.73,4,442-55 (2006)
87. Chmelo E, Nicklas B, Davis C, Miller GD, Legault C, Messier S. Physical activity and physical function in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*.10,6,777-83 (2013)
88. Rejeski WJ, Ettinger WH, Jr., Martin K, Morgan T. Treating disability in knee osteoarthritis with exercise therapy: a central role for self-efficacy and pain. *Arthritis Care Res*.11,2,94-101 (1998)
89. Hovis KK, Stehling C, Souza RB, Haugthom BD, Baum T, Nevitt M, et al. Physical activity is associated with magnetic resonance imaging-based knee cartilage T2 measurements in asymptomatic subjects with and those without osteoarthritis risk factors. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*.63,8,2248-56 (2011)

717 90. Lin W, Alizai H, Joseph GB, Srikhun W, Nevitt MC, Lynch JA, et al. Physical activity in relation to knee  
718 cartilage T2 progression measured with 3 T MRI over a period of 4 years: data from the Osteoarthritis  
719 Initiative. *Osteoarthritis And Cartilage / OARS, Osteoarthritis Research Society*.21,10,1558-66 (2013)  
720 91. Dunlop DD, Song J, Semanik PA, Sharma L, Bathon JM, Eaton CB, et al. Relation of physical activity  
721 time to incident disability in community dwelling adults with or at risk of knee arthritis: prospective  
722 cohort study. *BMJ*.348,g2472 (2014)  
723 92. Santos-Lozano A, Santín-Medeiros F, Cardon G, Torres-Luque G, Bailón R, Bergmeir C, et al. Actigraph  
724 GT3X: validation and determination of physical activity intensity cut points. *Int J Sports Med*.34,11,975-  
725 82 (2013)  
726 93. Strath SJ, Kaminsky LA, Ainsworth BE, Ekelund U, Freedson PS, Gary RA, et al. Guide to the  
727 assessment of physical activity: Clinical and research applications: a scientific statement from the  
728 American Heart Association. *Circulation*.128,20,2259-79 (2013)  
729 94. Butte NF, Ekelund U, Westerterp KR. Assessing Physical Activity Using Wearable Monitors: Measures  
730 of Physical Activity. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*.44,1 Suppl 1,S5-S12 (2012)  
731 95. Ahn GE, Song J, Lee J, Semanik PA, Chang RW, Sharma L, et al. **Relationship of Objective to Self-**  
732 **Reported Physical Activity Measures Among Adults in the Osteoarthritis Initiative.** Abstract. Arthritis  
733 and Rheumatism 2012. 64. p. S104-S5  
734 96. Christensen R, Astrup A, Bliddal H. Weight loss: the treatment of choice for knee osteoarthritis? A  
735 randomized trial. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.13,1,20-7 (2005)  
736 97. Bartels E, Christensen R, Christensen P, Henriksen M, Bennett A, Gudbergson H, et al. Effect of a 16  
737 weeks weight loss program on osteoarthritis biomarkers in obese patients with knee osteoarthritis: a  
738 prospective cohort study. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.22,11,1817-25 (2014)  
739 98. Zheng H, Chen C. Body mass index and risk of knee osteoarthritis: systematic review and meta-  
740 analysis of prospective studies. *BMJ Open*.5,12,e007568 (2015)  
741 99. Christensen R, Bartels EM, Astrup A, Bliddal H. Effect of weight reduction in obese patients diagnosed  
742 with knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Rheum Dis*.66,4,433-9 (2007)  
743 100. Dai Z, Niu J, Zhang Y, Jacques P, Felson DT. Dietary intake of fibre and risk of knee osteoarthritis in  
744 two US prospective cohorts. *Ann Rheum Dis*.76,8,1411-9 (2017)  
745 101. Ameye LG, Chee WS. Osteoarthritis and nutrition. From nutraceuticals to functional foods: a  
746 systematic review of the scientific evidence. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*.8,4,R127 (2006)  
747 102. Sanghi D, Mishra A, Sharma AC, Raj S, Mishra R, Kumari R, et al. Elucidation of Dietary Risk Factors in  
748 Osteoarthritis Knee—A Case-Control Study. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*.34,1,15-20  
749 (2015)  
750 103. Subar AF, Kirkpatrick SI, Mittl B, Zimmerman TP, Thompson FE, Bingley C, et al. The Automated Self-  
751 Administered 24-hour dietary recall (ASA24): a resource for researchers, clinicians, and educators from  
752 the National Cancer Institute. *J Acad Nutr Diet*.112,8,1134-7 (2012)  
753 104. Schröder H, MEstruch, RMartínez-González, MA Corella, D Salas-Salvadó, J Lamuela-Raventós, R  
754 Ros, E Salaverria, IFiol, M Lapetra, J Vinyoles, E Gómez-Gracia, E Lahoz, C Serra-Majem, L Pintó, X Ruiz-  
755 Gutierrez, VCovas, MI. A short screener is valid for assessing Mediterranean diet adherence among older  
756 Spanish men and women. *J Nutr*.141,6,1140-5 (2011)  
757 105. Sturnieks DL, Besier TF, Mills PM, Ackland TR, Maguire KF, Stachowiak GW, et al. Knee joint  
758 biomechanics following arthroscopic partial meniscectomy. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*.26,8,1075-  
759 80 (2008)  
760 106. Gardinier ES, Manal K, Buchanan TS, Snyder-Mackler L. Altered loading in the injured knee after ACL  
761 rupture. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*.31,3,458-64 (2013)  
762 107. Haughom BD, Souza R, Schairer WW, Li X, Ma CB. Evaluating rotational kinematics of the knee in  
763 ACL-ruptured and healthy patients using 3.0 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging. *Knee Surgery, Sports*  
764 *Traumatology, Arthroscopy*.20,4,663-70 (2012)

108. Waite J, Beard D, Dodd C, Murray D, Gill H. In vivo kinematics of the ACL-deficient limb during running and cutting. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*.13,5,377-84 (2005)
109. Zhang L-Q, Shiavi RG, Limbird TJ, Minorik JM. Six degrees-of-freedom kinematics of ACL deficient knees during locomotion—compensatory mechanism. *Gait & posture*.17,1,34-42 (2003)
110. Noyes FR, Schipplein OD, Andriacchi TP, Saddemi SR, Weise M. The anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knee with varus alignment An analysis of gait adaptations and dynamic joint loadings. *Am J Sports Med*.20,6,707-16 (1992)
111. Hubley-Kozey C, Deluzio K, Landry S, McNutt J, Stanish W. Neuromuscular alterations during walking in persons with moderate knee osteoarthritis. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*.16,4,365-78 (2006)
112. Heiden TL, Lloyd DG, Ackland TR. Knee joint kinematics, kinetics and muscle co-contraction in knee osteoarthritis patient gait. *Clinical Biomechanics*.24,10,833-41 (2009)
113. Mündermann A, Dyrby CO, Andriacchi TP. Secondary gait changes in patients with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis: increased load at the ankle, knee, and hip during walking. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*.52,9,2835-44 (2005)
114. Rudolph KS, Schmitt LC, Lewek MD. Age-related changes in strength, joint laxity, and walking patterns: are they related to knee osteoarthritis? *Physical Therapy*.87,11,1422-32 (2007)
115. Hortobágyi T, Westerkamp L, Beam S, Moody J, Garry J, Holbert D, et al. Altered hamstring-quadriceps muscle balance in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Clinical Biomechanics*.20,1,97-104 (2005)
116. Benedetti M, Catani F, Bilotta T, Marcacci M, Mariani E, Giannini S. Muscle activation pattern and gait biomechanics after total knee replacement. *Clinical Biomechanics*.18,9,871-6 (2003)
117. Kuntze G, von Tscharnner V, Hutchison C, Ronsky JL. Alterations in lower limb multimuscle activation patterns during stair climbing in female total knee arthroplasty patients. *Journal of Neurophysiology*.114,5,2718-25 (2015)
118. Kuntze G, von Tscharnner V, Hutchison C, Ronsky J. Multi-muscle activation strategies during walking in female post-operative total joint replacement patients. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*.25,4,715-21 (2015)
119. Cicuttini F, Wluka A, Hankin J, Wang Y. Longitudinal study of the relationship between knee angle and tibiofemoral cartilage volume in subjects with knee osteoarthritis. *Rheumatology*.43,3,321-4 (2004)
120. Sharma L, Song J, Felson DT, Cahue S, Shamiyeh E, Dunlop DD. The role of knee alignment in disease progression and functional decline in knee osteoarthritis. *JAMA*.286,2,188-95 (2001)
121. Wikstrom EA, Tillman MD, Chmielewski TL, Borsa PA. Measurement and evaluation of dynamic joint stability of the knee and ankle after injury. *Sports Medicine*.36,5,393-410 (2006)
122. Riemann BL, Lephart SM. The sensorimotor system, part II: the role of proprioception in motor control and functional joint stability. *Journal of Athletic Training*.37,1,80-4 (2002)
123. Williams GN, Buchanan TS, Barrance PJ, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. Quadriceps weakness, atrophy, and activation failure in predicted noncopers after anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Am J Sports Med*.33,3,402-7 (2005)
124. Suter E, Herzog W. Does Muscle Inhibition after Knee Injury Increase the Risk of Osteoarthritis? *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*.28,1,15-8 (2000)
125. Hurley MV. The role of muscle weakness in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Rheumatic Disease Clinics of North America*.25,2,283-98 (1999)
126. Bennell KL, Hunt MA, Wrigley TV, Lim B-W, Hinman RS. Role of muscle in the genesis and management of knee osteoarthritis. *Rheumatic Disease Clinics of North America*.34,3,731-54 (2008)
127. Roos EM, Herzog W, Block JA, Bennell KL. Muscle weakness, afferent sensory dysfunction and exercise in knee osteoarthritis. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*.7,1,57-63 (2011)
128. Van de Velde SK, Bingham JT, Hosseini A, Kozanek M, DeFrate LE, Gill TJ, et al. Increased tibiofemoral cartilage contact deformation in patients with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*.60,12,3693-702 (2009)

129. Liu F, Kozanek M, Hosseini A, Van de Velde SK, Gill TJ, Rubash HE, et al. In vivo tibiofemoral cartilage deformation during the stance phase of gait. *Journal of Biomechanics*.43,4,658-65 (2010)

130. Gardinier ES, Di Stasi S, Manal K, Buchanan TS, Snyder-Mackler L. Knee contact force asymmetries in patients who failed return-to-sport readiness criteria 6 months after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med*.42,12,2917-25 (2014)

131. Gardinier ES, Manal K, Buchanan TS, Snyder-Mackler L. Clinically-relevant measures associated with altered contact forces in patients with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. *Clinical Biomechanics*.29,5,531-6 (2014)

132. Andriacchi TP, Favre J, Erhart-Hledik J, Chu CR. A systems view of risk factors for knee osteoarthritis reveals insights into the pathogenesis of the disease. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*.43,2,376-87 (2015)

133. Gardiner BS, Woodhouse FG, Besier TF, Grodzinsky AJ, Lloyd DG, Zhang L, et al. Predicting Knee Osteoarthritis. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*.1-12 (2015)

134. Kobsar D, Osis ST, Phinyomark A, Boyd JE, Ferber R. Reliability of gait analysis using wearable sensors in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Journal of Biomechanics*.49,16,3977-82 (2016)

135. Kobsar D, Osis ST, Boyd JE, Hettinga BA, Ferber R. Wearable sensors to predict improvement following an exercise intervention in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation*.14,1,94 (2017)

136. Tadano S, Takeda R, Sasaki K, Fujisawa T, Tohyama H. Gait characterization for osteoarthritis patients using wearable gait sensors (H-Gait systems). *Journal of Biomechanics*.49,5,684-90 (2016)

137. Sutter EG, Widmyer MR, Utturkar GM, Spritzer CE, Garrett WE, Jr., DeFrate LE. In vivo measurement of localized tibiofemoral cartilage strains in response to dynamic activity. *Am J Sports Med*.43,2,370-6 (2015)

138. Chehab EF, Favre J, Erhart-Hledik JC, Andriacchi TP. Baseline knee adduction and flexion moments during walking are both associated with 5 year cartilage changes in patients with medial knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.22,11,1833-9 (2014)

139. Walter JP, Kinney AL, Banks SA, D'Lima DD, Besier TF, Lloyd DG, et al. Muscle synergies may improve optimization prediction of knee contact forces during walking. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*.136,2,021031 (2014)

140. Gerus P, Sartori M, Besier TF, Fregly BJ, Delp SL, Banks SA, et al. Subject-specific knee joint geometry improves predictions of medial tibiofemoral contact forces. *Journal of Biomechanics*.46,16,2778-86 (2013)

141. Johnson WR, Alderson J, Lloyd DG, Mian A. Predicting Athlete Ground Reaction Forces and Moments from Spatio-temporal Driven CNN Models. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*.Early Access (2018)

142. Long MJ, Papi E, Duffell LD, McGregor AH. Predicting knee osteoarthritis risk in injured populations. *Clinical biomechanics*.47,87-95 (2017)

143. Pfeiffer S, Harkey M, Stanley L, Blackburn J, Padua D, Spang J, et al. Associations Between Slower Walking Speed and T1p Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Femoral Cartilage Following Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Arthritis Care Res*.70,8,1132-40 (2018)

144. Chu CR, Sheth S, Erhart-Hledik J, Do B, Titchenal M, Andriacchi T. Mechanically stimulated biomarkers signal cartilage changes over 5 years consistent with disease progression in medial knee osteoarthritis patients. *J Orthop Res*.36,3,891-7 (2018)

145. Pietrosimone B, Loeser R, Blackburn J, Padua D, Harkey M, Stanley L, et al. Biochemical markers of cartilage metabolism are associated with walking biomechanics 6-months following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *J Orthop Res*.35,10,2288-97 (2017)

146. Favre J, Erhart-Hledik J, Chehab E, Andriacchi T. Baseline ambulatory knee kinematics are associated with changes in cartilage thickness in osteoarthritic patients over 5 years. *J Biomech*.49,9,1859-64 (2016)

147. Erhart-Hledik J, Favre J, Asay J, Smith R, Giori N, Mündermann A, et al. A relationship between mechanically-induced changes in serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) and changes in cartilage thickness after 5 years. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*.20,11,1309-15 (2012)
148. Hayashi D, Roemer F, Guermazi A. Recent advances in research imaging of osteoarthritis with focus on MRI, ultrasound and hybrid imaging. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*.36,Suppl 114,43-52 (2018)
149. Hayashi D, Roemer FW, Guermazi A. Imaging of osteoarthritis—recent research developments and future perspective. *The British journal of radiology*.91,1085,20170349 (2018)
150. Brandt KD, Fife RS, Braunstein EM, Katz B. Radiographic grading of the severity of knee osteoarthritis: relation of the Kellgren and Lawrence grade to a grade based on joint space narrowing, and correlation with arthroscopic evidence of articular cartilage degeneration. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*.34,11,1381-6 (1991)
151. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS. Radiological assessment of osteo-arthritis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*.16,4,494-502 (1957)
152. Wirth W, Duryea J, Hellio Le Graverand MP, John MR, Nevitt M, Buck RJ, et al. Direct comparison of fixed flexion, radiography and MRI in knee osteoarthritis: responsiveness data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*.21,1,117-25 (2013)
153. Guermazi A, Niu JB, Hayashi D, Roemer FW, Englund M, Neogi T, et al. Prevalence of abnormalities in knees detected by MRI in adults without knee osteoarthritis: population based observational study (Framingham Osteoarthritis Study). *British Medical Journal*.345,e5339 (2012)
154. Hunter DJ, Guermazi A, Lo GH, Grainger AJ, Conaghan PG, Boudreau RM, et al. Evolution of semi-quantitative whole joint assessment of knee OA: MOAKS (MRI Osteoarthritis Knee Score). *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.19,8,990-1002 (2011)
155. Sharma L, Guermazi A, Almagor O, Crema M, Dunlop DD, Roemer F, et al. **Tissue Lesions in Osteoarthritis Initiative Participants with Normal X-Rays and Risk Factors for Incident Cartilage Damage**. Abstract. Arthritis and Rheumatism Annual Scientific Meeting of the American College of Rheumatology and Association of Rheumatology Health Professionals; Washington, DC, United States: John Wiley and Sons Inc. ; 2012. S1039-S40
156. Su F, Hilton JF, Nardo L, Wu S, Liang F, Link TM, et al. Cartilage morphology and T 1 and T 2 quantification in ACL-reconstructed knees: a 2-year follow-up. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.21,8,1058-67 (2013)
157. Van Ginckel A, Verdonk P, Witvrouw E. Cartilage adaptation after anterior cruciate ligament injury and reconstruction: implications for clinical management and research? A systematic review of longitudinal MRI studies. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.21,8,1009-24 (2013)
158. Hunter DJ, Lohmander LS, Makovey J, Tamez-Peña J, Totterman S, Schreyer E, et al. The effect of anterior cruciate ligament injury on bone curvature: exploratory analysis in the KANON trial. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage*.22,7,959-68 (2014)
159. Neogi T, Felson DT. Osteoarthritis: Bone as an imaging biomarker and treatment target in OA. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*.12,9,503-4 (2016)
160. Bowes M, Lohmander L, Wolstenholme C, Vincent G, Conaghan P, Frobell R. Marked and rapid change of bone shape in acutely ACL injured knees—an exploratory analysis of the KANON trial. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*.27,638-45 (2019)
161. Hunter DJ, Arden N, Conaghan PG, Eckstein F, Gold G, Grainger A, et al. Definition of osteoarthritis on MRI: results of a Delphi exercise. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.19,8,963-9 (2011)
162. Schiphof D, Oei E, Hofman A, Waarsing J, Weinans H, Bierma-Zeinstra S. Sensitivity and associations with pain and body weight of an MRI definition of knee osteoarthritis compared with radiographic Kellgren and Lawrence criteria: a population-based study in middle-aged females. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.22,3,440-6 (2014)

163. Culvenor AG, Collins NJ, Guermazi A, Cook JL, Vicenzino B, Khan KM, et al. Early knee osteoarthritis is evident one year following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a magnetic resonance imaging evaluation. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*.67,4,946-55 (2015)

164. Roemer FW, Englund M, Turkiewicz A, Struglics A, Guermazi A, Lohmander LS, et al. Molecular and Structural Biomarkers of Inflammation at Two Years After Acute Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Do Not Predict Structural Knee Osteoarthritis at Five Years. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*.71,2,238-43 (2019)

165. Hunter D, Altman R, Cicuttini F, Crema M, Duryea J, Eckstein F, et al. OARSI Clinical Trials Recommendations: Knee imaging in clinical trials in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.23,5,698-715 (2015)

166. Roemer FW, Frobell R, Lohmander LS, Niu J, Guermazi A. Anterior Cruciate Ligament OsteoArthritis Score (ACLOAS): longitudinal MRI-based whole joint assessment of anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage*.22,5,668-82 (2014)

167. Guermazi A, Roemer FW, Hayashi D, Crema MD, Niu J, Zhang Y, et al. Assessment of synovitis with contrast-enhanced MRI using a whole-joint semiquantitative scoring system in people with, or at high risk of, knee osteoarthritis: the MOST study. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*.70,5,805-11 (2011)

168. Magnusson K, Kumm J, Turkiewicz A, Englund M. A naturally aging knee, or development of early knee osteoarthritis? *Osteoarthritis and cartilage*.26,11,1447-52 (2018)

169. Baert IA, Staes F, Truijen S, Mahmoudian A, Noppe N, Vanderschueren G, et al. Weak associations between structural changes on MRI and symptoms, function and muscle strength in relation to knee osteoarthritis. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*.22,9,2013-25 (2014)

170. Neogi T, Felson D, Niu J, Nevitt M, Lewis CE, Aliabadi P, et al. Association between radiographic features of knee osteoarthritis and pain: results from two cohort studies. *Bmj*.339,b2844 (2009)

171. Kraus VB, Blanco FJ, Englund M, Karsdal MA, Lohmander LS. Call for standardized definitions of osteoarthritis and risk stratification for clinical trials and clinical use. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.23,8,1233-41 (2015)

172. Bruyère O, Genant H, Kothari M, Zaim S, White D, Peterfy C, et al. Longitudinal study of magnetic resonance imaging and standard X-rays to assess disease progression in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.15,1,98-103 (2007)

173. Hayashi D, Felson D, Niu J, Hunter D, Roemer F, Aliabadi P, et al. Pre-radiographic osteoarthritic changes are highly prevalent in the medial patella and medial posterior femur in older persons: Framingham OA study. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.22,1,76-83 (2014)

174. Englund M, Guermazi A, Gale D, Hunter DJ, Aliabadi P, Clancy M, et al. Incidental meniscal findings on knee MRI in middle-aged and elderly persons. *New England Journal of Medicine*.359,11,1108-15 (2008)

175. Felson D, Lohmander L. Whither osteoarthritis biomarkers? *Osteoarthritis and cartilage/OARS, Osteoarthritis Research Society*.17,4,419 (2009)

176. Bay-Jensen AC, Thudium CS, Mobasheri A. Development and use of biochemical markers in osteoarthritis: current update. *Current Opinion in Rheumatology*.30,1,121-8 (2017)

177. Ling SM, Patel DD, Garnerio P, Zhan M, Vaduganathan M, Muller D, et al. Serum protein signatures detect early radiographic osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.17,1,43-8 (2009)

178. Golightly YM, Marshall SW, Kraus VB, Renner JB, Villaveces A, Casteel C, et al. 124 Serum Cartilage Oligomeric Matrix Protein Hyaluronan High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein and Keratan Sulfate as Predictors of Incident Radiographic Knee Osteoarthritis: Differences by Chronic Knee Symptoms. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.18,S62-S3 (2010)

179. Blumenfeld O, Williams FM, Hart DJ, Spector TD, Arden N, Livshits G. Association between cartilage and bone biomarkers and incidence of radiographic knee osteoarthritis (RKOA) in UK females: a prospective study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*.21,7,923-9 (2013)



180. Sowers M, Lachance L, Jamadar D, Hochberg MC, Hollis B, Crutchfield M, et al. The associations of bone mineral density and bone turnover markers with osteoarthritis of the hand and knee in pre- and perimenopausal women. *Arthritis Rheum*.42,3,483-9 (1999)
181. Kosinska MK, Liebis G, Lochnit G, Wilhelm J, Klein H, Kaesser U, et al. Sphingolipids in Human Synovial Fluid: A Lipidomic Study. *PLOS One*.9,3,e91769 (2014)
182. Adams S, Setton LA, Kensicki E, Bolognesi MP, Toth AP, Nettles DL. Global metabolic profiling of human osteoarthritic synovium. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.20,1,64-7 (2012)
183. Bauer D, Hunter D, Abramson S, Attur M, Corr M, Felson D, et al. Classification of osteoarthritis biomarkers: a proposed approach. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*.14,8,723-7 (2006)
184. Kraus VB, Blanco F, Englund M, Henrotin Y, Lohmander L, Losina E, et al. OARSI Clinical Trials Recommendations: Soluble biomarker assessments in clinical trials in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage*.23,5,686-97 (2015)
185. Van Spil W, DeGroot J, Lems W, Oostveen J, Lafeber F. Serum and urinary biochemical markers for knee and hip-osteoarthritis: a systematic review applying the consensus BIPED criteria. *Osteoarthritis and cartilage*.18,5,605-12 (2010)
186. (FDA) SSMUFaDA. BEST (Biomarkers, EndpointS, and other Tools) Resource [Internet] 2016. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK326791/?report=reader>
187. van der Elst K, Meyfroidt S, De Cock D, De Groef A, Binnard E, Moons P, et al. Unraveling Patient-Preferred Health and Treatment Outcomes in Early Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Longitudinal Qualitative Study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*.68,9,1278-87 (2016)

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support of Alberta Team OA (Alberta Innovates Health Solutions Collaborative Research and Innovation Opportunity Team) and Arthritis Research UK who funded an initial meeting at Osteoarthritis Research Society International in April 2015 to discuss outcomes in early OA. The support of the Osteoarthritis Research Society International is acknowledged for meeting room facilities. The authors would like to thank Tanya Childs for the administrative support in completing this manuscript.

## Author contributions

“CAE, JLW, NKA, AMa, LSL, EMR, KLB, CMT, RAR, DT, JLR, GK, DGL, TA, ME, VBK, EL, SBZ, JR, GP, FPL, LSM, MAR, AMo, AG, DJH, NKA researched data for the article. CAE, JLW, NKA, AMa, LSL, EMR, KLB, CMT, RAR, DT, JLR, GK, DGL, TA, ME, VBK, EL, SBZ, JR, GP, FPL, LSM, MAR, AMo, AG, DJH, NKA provided substantial contributions to discussion of content. CAE, JLW, NKA, AMa, LSL, and FPL wrote the article and CAE, JLW, NKA, AMa, LSL, EMR, KLB, CMT, RAR, DT, JLR, GK, DGL, TA, ME, VBK, EL, SBZ, JR, GP, FPL, LSM, MAR, AMo, AG, DJH, NKA reviewed and/or edited the manuscript before submission.”

990 **Competing Interests**

991 CAE, JLW, AMa, NKA, KLB, CMT, RAR, DT, JLR, GK, DGL, TA, ME, VBK, EL, SBZ, JR, GP, FPL, LSM, MAR, AM,  
992 declare that they have no competing interests. E.M.R. and L.S.L declare that they contributed to the  
993 development of the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). L.S.L. also declares that he  
994 contributed to the development of the ICOAP and the Anterior Cruciate Ligament Osteoarthritis Score (ACLOAS).  
995 AG is Consultant to Pfizer, TissueGene, AstraZeneca and Merck Serono. He is Shareholder of BICL, LLC. DJH is a  
996 Consultant to Pfizer, TissueGene, TLCBio and Merck Serono and contributed to the development of MOAKS.

997 Related links

998 KOOS scoring instructions: <http://www.koos.nu/>  
999 Single Leg Hop for distance: <https://www.sralab.org/rehabilitation-measures/single-limb-hop-tests>  
1000 30-second Chair Sit-to-Stand Test: <https://vimeo.com/74649743>  
1001 6-minute walk test: <https://vimeo.com/74649737>

### **Box 1.** Proposed outcomes for the assessment of early pre-radiographic OA

Below we provide suggestions for outcomes measures that could be used to assess individuals with early pre-radiographic OA in clinical practice and in research settings. Further research is needed, including evaluation of validity of early-OA specific outcomes and change in outcomes with progression of OA as many of these measures have been evaluated primarily in established OA<sup>43, 44, 47-50, 57-59, 66, 153</sup>.

#### **In clinical practice and research settings:**

##### Patient-reported outcomes

The Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) can be used to measure pain during activity, other symptoms (e.g., stiffness, grinding, catching, swelling, knee flexion and extension, function in daily life and during sport and recreational activities, and quality of life across different age and treatment groups. The intermittent and constant assessment of pain score (ICOAP) can evaluate constant and intermittent pain

##### Clinical examination

A clinical assessment including joint line tenderness should be performed on individuals with newly-onset symptoms of knee pain, stiffness, crepitus, or a feeling of 'giving way'.

##### Functional outcomes

Three measures seem promising for use in clinical settings on the basis of their reproducibility, patient acceptability and the equipment<sup>153</sup> and expertise required: Single leg hop test<sup>43, 44, 47-50</sup>, 30 second chair sit-to-stand<sup>57-59</sup>, Star Excursion Balance Test<sup>44, 51-56</sup> and quadriceps strength measure<sup>44, 47, 48, 52, 66</sup>. Multiple additional functional measures have been validated for use in research settings.

##### Lifestyle-related outcomes

Adiposity can be assessed by body fat percentage or fat mass index (fat mass/height<sup>2</sup>) using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry or bioelectrical impedance analysis if available. BMI is more feasible in clinical settings, although has limitations for use in athletes. Levels of physical activity can be assessed using a validated physical activity monitor or a validated questionnaire if objective methods are not available. Nutrition outcomes are not currently suggested for use in routine clinical care, however the 3-day dietary record provides reliable estimates of nutrient intake.

#### **In research settings only:**

##### Biomechanical outcomes

Measures of biomechanical outcomes require further research and are not currently suggested for use in routine clinical care. However, such outcomes are ideal for informing the underlying mechanisms of OA progression and informing treatment interventions in research setting.

##### Imaging outcomes

The utility of plain radiography in early OA is limited. Although MRI has superior sensitivity to change and validity in the context of early OA<sup>153</sup>, and is hence ideal in research settings, MRI is not thought appropriate for the routine clinical care setting because of the high cost and potential risk of over-diagnosis.

##### Biomarkers

No biomarkers are currently of use in routine clinical care; however, further validation of proteomic, lipidomic and metabolomic tools in research settings could lead to informative cartilage and synovial fluid profiles and provide important insights into OA progression.

1003  
1004

**Table 1.** Important physical function outcomes

Outcome measure	Test measure	Equipment Required	Reliability			Error	Validity		Responsive /Interpretability	Appropriate risk group (age)	References
			Intra	Inter	Re-test		Structural	Ho testing			
Single leg hop for distance	Length (cm)	Measuring tape	+	-	-	-	-	+/-	-	Post-trauma (≤45 years)	1005 43, 44, 47-50
Cross hop for distance	Length (cm)	Measuring tape	+	-	-	-	-	+/-	-	Post-trauma (≤45 years)	1006 43, 47-50
6 meter timed hop test	Time (sec)	Measuring tape	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	Post-trauma (≤45 years)	1007 43, 47-50
Star excursion balance test	Length (% leg length)	Measuring mat, tape and skilled rater (leg length)	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	Post-trauma or obese (all ages)	1008 44, 51-56
30-second chair sit-to-stand test	Count (# repetitions)	Chair and timer	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	Post-trauma or obese (all ages)	1009 57-59
6 minute walk test	Length (m)	Flat 20m walking area, timer and chair	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Obese (all ages)	41, 42
Vertical drop jump	Risk rating	31cm high box	+	+	-	-	-	+/-	-	Post-trauma (≤45 years)	44, 60
Single leg squat	Risk rating	None	+	+	-	-	+/-	+/-	-	Post-trauma or obese (all ages)	44, 61-63
Unipedal dynamic balance	Time (sec)	Balance pad and timer	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	Post-trauma or obese (all ages)	44, 64
20 meter shuttle run	Stage	Coloured tape and instructions.	-	-	+	+	-/+	+	-	Post-trauma (≤45 years)	44, 65
Quadriceps strength	Force (Nm/Kg)	Hand-held or isokinetic dynamometer and skilled rater	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Post-trauma or obese (all ages)	44, 47, 48, 52, 66
Hamstring strength	Force (Nm/Kg)	Hand-held or isokinetic dynamometer	+	+	+	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	Post-trauma or obese (all ages)	41, 43, 67
Hip adductor or hip abductor strength	Force (Nm/Kg)	Hand-held or isokinetic dynamometer	+	+	+	+	-	+/-	-	Post-trauma or obese (all ages)	41, 43, 67

+ =

1011

supporting evidence, - = no supporting evidence, +/- = conflicting evidence

1012

1013

**Box 2.** MRI Defined Osteoarthritis (Hunter et al 2011)<sup>161</sup>

A definition of tibiofemoral osteoarthritis on MRI would be the presence of both group [A] features or one group [A] feature and two or more group [B] features

Group [A] after exclusion of joint trauma within the last 6 months (by history) and exclusion of inflammatory arthritis (by radiographs, history and laboratory parameters):

- i) Definite osteophyte formation\*
- ii) Full thickness cartilage loss

Group [B]:

- i) Subchondral bone marrow lesion or cyst not associated with meniscal or ligamentous attachments
- ii) Meniscal subluxation, maceration or degenerative (horizontal) tear
- iii) Partial thickness cartilage loss (where full thickness loss is not present)
- iv) Bone attrition

A definition of patellofemoral OA requires all of the following involving the patella and/or anterior femur:

- i) A definite osteophyte\*
- ii) Partial or full thickness cartilage loss

1014

1015 \* The definition of a ‘definite osteophyte’ was not delineated in the Delphi process and requires further  
1016 validation.